

MEETING DATE	Thursday 21 November 2019
Panel reference	PPSSEC-11 – Bayside – DA2014/10096/H
	5 Oscar Place, Eastgardens

in relation to this matter, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest □
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably perceived \square conflict of interest as detailed below:
21 Nov 2019
JUL PICA
Signature Date
13 TRANCIS
Name

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Thursday 21 November 2019
Panel reference	PPSSEC-11 – Bayside – DA2014/10096/H
	5 Oscar Place, Eastgardens

relation to this matter, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest \square an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably perceived \square conflict of interest as detailed
below:
9
de Rosell 21 Nov 2019
ignature Date
LOHN ROSETH

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Thursday 21 November 2019
Panel reference	PPSSEC-11 – Bayside – DA2014/10096/H
	5 Oscar Place, Eastgardens

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have	:
no known conflict of interest	
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonable below:	ly perceived³ □ conflict of interest as detailed
4	
·	
Miles	21 Nov 2019
Signature	Date
MICHAEL NAGI Name	

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at

enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Thursday 21 November 2019
Panel reference	PPSSEC-11 – Bayside – DA2014/10096/H
	5 Oscar Place, Eastgardens

n relation to this matter, I declare that I have:	
no known conflict of interest	
an actual 1 \square , potential 2 \square or reasonably perceived 3 \square conflict of interest as detaile below:	d
21 Nov 2019	
Signature Date	
Name /	

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at

enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

 $^{^{1}}$ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.